Costs and benefits of the sustainable urban mobility transition



^{10 June 2022} Costs and benefits of the sustainable urban mobility transition

Yoann Le Petit, EIT Urban Mobility





Co-funded by the European Union





Study objectives and scope



Research questions:

- How much will the transition to sustainable urban mobility (-55% CO2 by 2030 and -90% CO2 by 2050 compared to 1990 levels) cost?
- What are its benefits, including the monetization of all externalities?
- What range of costs and benefits can be identified according to city variables?
- What are the most cost-efficient measures to accelerate sustainable urban mobility?



Urban Mobility Next #5 Costs and benefits of the sustainable urban mobility transition







Scope: 779 EU cities of more than 50 000 inhabitants



Three pathways to sustainable mobility have been applied to **12 City Prototypes**, to account for differences among cities in their dimension and geographic area

The model's output (indicators) have been **generalized at the EU27 level** (779 cities)

Characteristics and transport parameters of each City Prototype have been defined using **30** reference cities:

		Southern Europe	Central Europe	Northern Europe	Eastern Europe
FRT	Small City 50-100K inhabitants	Alessandria (IT) Faro (PT) Zadar (HR)	Klagenfurt (AT) La Rochelle (FR) Leuven (BE)	Galway (IR) Lahti (FI)	Daugavpils (LV) Tartu (EE) Zilina (SK)
	Medium City 100-500K inhabitants	Perugia (IT) Ljubljana (SI)	Bielefeld (DE) Eindhoven (NL)	Uppsala (SE) Oulu (FI)	Gdynia (PL) Klaipeda (LT) Szeged (HU) Timisoara (RO)
ĸ	Large City >500K inhabitants	Athens (EL) Barcelona (ES)	Bordeaux (FR) Munich (DE)	Copenhagen (DK) Dublin (IR) Göteborg (SE)	Prague (CZ) Sofia (BG)



Methodology (2/2) – Transition pathways

>

PASSAGER PULSEN 6

3



	Policy Group	Policy Measure	S01: Promote and Regulate	S02: Plan and Build	S03: Mixed
		Sustainable travel information and promotion (behaviour)	x		×
nolicy groups	Second Second	Mobility as a Service (MaaS)	x		X
	Shared Mobility and Demand Management	Bike sharing	x		x
		Micro mobility	x		x
		Carsharing	x		X
0		Delivery and servicing plan	x		x
9 policy measures		Teleworking	x		X
		Autonomous vehicles		x	x
	Innovative Services	Demand-responsive transport (DRT)		x	X
		Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS)		x	x
transition nathways to sustainable	Green Public	Electric energy refuelling infrastructure	x	x	x
ciulisición pacificays to sustainable	Transport and	Hydrogen energy refuelling infrastructure	x	x	x
hobility:	Logistics Fleets &	Green public fleet	x	x	x
	Charging Infrastructure	Green logistics fleet	×	x	x
Promote and Regulate		Congestion and pollution charging	x		x
Ğ		Parking pricing	x		x
Plan and Build	Pricing Schemes	Public transport integrated ticketing and tariff schemes	x		x
		Bus network and facilities	1	x	X*
Mixed		Tram network and facilities		x	
WINCO	Transport	Walking and cycling networks and facilities		x	x
	Infrastructure	Park and ride (multimodal mobility hubs)		x	х
		Metro network facilities and light rail		x	
		Urban Delivery Centres and city logistics facilities		x	X
	Traffic management	Legal and regulatory framework of urban freight transport	x		x
		Legal and regulatory framework of new mobility services	x	i	x
	and control	Prioritizing Public Transport		x	
	and a second	Access regulation and street space reallocation	x		X
		Traffic calming measures	X		X
		Pedestrian Areas	x	-	x

Meeting the 2030 Green Deal target requires ambitious reduction of private motorised trips





0

Active Modes Public Transport 20% 14% Private Motorized 2019 2030 2050





Additional efforts are required to make Vision Zero a reality across EU cities by 2050



The most ambitious transition scenario reduces urban fatalities by 63% in 2050







Each euro invested in the transition can generate up to €3,06 by 2030 ; and up to €5,66 by 2050



The transition can lead to net benefits of up to **€177bn** by 2030, **€698bn** by 2050...

... but requires **€86bn** extra investments compared to business-as-usual scenario by 2030, and **€150bn** by 2050.

Net Balance (discounted, cumulated from 2019)



PORBRUGERRÅDET TÆNK



Using our findings for your city





EPF

Which of these policy groups is the best mix between cost-effectiveness and CO2 emissions reduction in large European cities? (1/2)

Shared mobility and demand management (MaaS, vehicle sharing, logistics delivery plans, teleworking)

9%

Innovative services (Demand Responsive Transport, autonomous shuttles, Intelligent Transport Systems)



Pricing schemes (Congestion and pollution charging, parking pricing, public transport integrated ticketing and tariff schemes)

47 %

0 3 4

Transport infrastructure (Bus & tram network and facilities, walking and cycling networks and facilities, P+R, urban delivery centres)

slido

26 %

Which of these policy groups is the best mix between cost-effectiveness and CO2 emissions reduction in large European cities? (2/2)

Traffic management and control (Legal and regulatory framework for urban freight/micromobility, prioritizing public transport, access regulation and street space reallocation, traffic calming & pedestrian areas)

15 %



Policy group effectiveness – takeaway for public transport



- Innovative services (e.g. DRT, ITS, autonomous shuttles) are mostly profitable in large cities where demand is likely higher
- Transport infrastructure extension has positive impact in smaller cities, but a limited one in larger cities
- By 2050, Innovative Services followed by Shared Mobility and Demand Management are the most efficient & profitable groups in medium and large cities. Pricing Schemes are the best option in small cities.



