Public Transport in Italy: situation and prospects

Marco Gariboldi UTP – Utenti Trasporto Pubblico

Urban transport infrasctructure: where are we?

• Since 2000:

- 2 more metro cities: Turin and Brescia
- 5 metro cities with extended networks: Milan, Rome, Naples, Genoa and Catania
- 7 new tram cities: Messina, Sassari, Cagliari, Bergamo, Florence, Padua and Venice-Mestre (Palermo coming soon...)
- 5 historical tram networks retained: Milan, Turin, Rome, Naples and Trieste
- > 10 trolleybus cities (old and new) with 6 more to join

The 7 metro networks...

	Km 2000	> 2007	> 2013	Km 2013	Δ 2000/2013	u/c
Milan	70.6 km	+ 4.2 km	+ 13.3 km	88.1 km	+ 24.8 %	13.6 km
Rome	40.6 km (+ 28.5)		+ 4 km	44.6 km (+ 28.5)	+ 9.9%	26.5 km
Naples	8 km	+ 6.3 km	+ 14 km	28.3 km	+ 253,8 %	4.5 km
Turin		+ 9.6 km	+3.8 km	13.4 km	New	1.9 km
Brescia			+ 13.7 km	13.7 km	New	
Genoa	3 km	+ 2.5 km	+ 1.6 km	7.1 km	+ 136.7 %	
Catania	3.8 km			3.8 km		5 km
TOTAL	154.5 km	+ 22.6 km	+ 50.4 km	227.5 km	+ 47.2 %	51.5 km + 22.6 %

...and the new tramways

City	Туре	Opened	Network length (2013)	u/c
Messina	City tram	2003	7.7 km	
Sassari	Tram-train	2006	4.3 km	
Cagliari	Former railway	2008	6.5 km	
Bergamo	Former railway	2009	12.5 km	
Florence	City tram	2010	7.4 km	8.9 km
Padua	City tram (TransLohr)	2007-09	10.3 km	
Venice-Mestre	City tram (TransLohr)	2010	6.3 km	14 km
Palermo	City tram	u/c		15 km
TOTAL			55 km	37.9 km

Expanding public transport networks: a tough challenge

- Once opened, new public transport infrastructure generally achieves great public success, often beyond previous forecasts, BUT:
- construction is almost invariably behind schedule, for various reasons (bureaucracy, missing funds, archeological findings, construction firms bankruptcies...);
- strong NIMBY opposition, especially where railbased public transport is a novelty and where there is a high level of car usage

Expanding public transport networks: a tough challenge

- weak political support and strong opposition from minority leaders and even from environmentalists (absence of a powerful pro-PT lobby);
- opposition often leads to erratic choices ("innovative" vs. "cost-effective"): the cases of Verona, Padua and Bologna
- Under-utilization of trolleybus networks

High speed: a long but successful story

- December 2009: completion of the Turin-Salerno high-speed line (*Direttissima* begun in 1977 and completed in 1992; TAV Rome-Naples started in 1994)
- > 900 km of new lines (1.342 km with interconnections and urban sections)
- 365 km with 3 Kv DC (high capacity quadrupled sections and *Direttissima* with mixed traffic – max speed 220-250 km/h)
- 536,5 km with 25 Kv AC (high speed only max 300 km/h)
- 2 train operating companies: Trenitalia and Italo

Evolution of train offer on high speed relations

Route		Milan-Rome (565 km)			Venice-Rome (510 km)		
Train Category		IC	F.Rossa	Italo	IC	F.Argento	Italo
Dec2006	Train Pairs	4	16		3	8	
	Travel time	6h	4h05m / 4h30m		5h50m	4h30m	
Dec2009	Train Pairs	3	36		2	13	
	Travel time	6h30m	3h / 3h30m		6h	3h30m / 3h45m	
Mar2013	Train Pairs	3	36	16	2	18	5
	Travel time	6h40m	3h / 3h20m		5h30m / 6h	3h10m / 3h30m	



High speed and competition: value for the customer

- More connections by rail
- More discounts up to the last minute
- Train competitive with both air and road travel
- Both air and rail incumbents have not reduced their offer
- New air competitor (Easyjet) on the Linate-Fiumicino route (end of Alitalia monopoly) with prices halved

High speed and competition: value for the customer

- Enhanced customer service by both air and rail carriers
- Good timekeeping (train punctuality > 95%)
- New high-speed destinations to be launched (Milan-Ancona)
- + 5% of Italian high speed market despite ongoing recession

Competition on Italian Railways: is it fair?

- Infrastructure Manager (RFI) and incumbent Train Operator (Trenitalia) part of the same group (FS)
- Track access charges among the highest in Europe (>13 euro per train-km for high speed)
- Homologation process not well defined and influenced by the incumbent
- No ticket sales integration
- Physical obstacles (no access to main stations, ticket office locations...)

What beyond high speed?

- Two types of traditional long distance services: "market-driven" (Frecciabianca) and statesubsidised (Intercity)
- Frecciabianca trains (refurbished ICs on the more profitable routes) have suffered bad publicity due to high prices and serious technical problems
- Continuous decline in state subsidies has resulted in fewer IC services, especially night trains

What beyond high speed?

- State-owned Trenitalia has shown very little interest in running IC or international trains
- Despite this, any attempt made by other operators (DB-OeBB, ArenaWays...) to run these sevices have always been met with fierce opposition by the monopolist
- Focus on cutting costs instead of improving services has led to losing patronage

Rail passenger trends 2006-2009

(passenger-km variations)



Regional trains: a never completed reform

- Since 2001, all regional trains in Italy have been transferred to the Regions, who are in charge of planning and funding services and signing contracts with train operators
- However, the Regions still having limited fiscal powers, funds are still provided by the State, based on historical figures
- Very few Regions (mainly Lombardy, Tuscany and South Tyrol) have managed/wanted to put additional money on local trains and have provided themselves with the necessary skills to plan rail services
- As a result, most Regions have just prolonged the status quo as before the regionalization

Regional trains: a never completed reform

- A national law passed in 1997 stated that all local transport services, including trains, must be put on tender; however, this obligation has been continuously postponed
- Currently, there is the possibility of (but not the obligation to) competitive tendering
- But real competition is mostly theoretical, since Trenitalia onws almost all the rolling stock and the depots
- However, in the very few cases where services have been put on tender, savings of up to 15% have been obtained, even if the winner has always been the incumbent

Current financial and economic crisis: Regional railways under threat

- In 2010, Government reduced national transport funds for 2011 to a quarter (from €1,6bn to 400 million)
- Subsequently, all the funds have been reconstituted but precariously, on a year-to-year basis
- At the same time, Regional budgets suffered from many severe financial cuts by the State
- As a result, some Regions have cancelled services, with many rail lines left with no service (although still technically open)

Current financial and economic crisis: Regional railways under threat

- Piemonte has seen the most cuts, with 25% of RFI network "suspended"...
- ... followed by Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Abruzzo, Molise, Campania and Calabria with around 15%
- Currently, almost 7% of the national non-high speed RFI network sees no passenger trains (a few lines are still used for freight)
- Another 10% has less than 7 train pairs per weekday, and a further 12% has less than 11 train pairs → almost a third of the Italian rail network is at risk



Rail transport in Lombardy: a different (and successful) approach

- During the latest 10 years:
 - Completion of Milan's Passante (13 km, opened 1997, completed 2008)
 - ≻70 kms of double-tracking
 - >25 kms of 4 tracks (high speed/high capacity)
 - ≻12 new stations
 - ≻105 new trains (78 double deck, all owned by the Region) → possibility of tendering services in the years to come

Rail transport in Lombardy: a different (and successful) approach

• Enhanced service:

- ≻+40% of train services (+4% in 2011)
- New suburban services in and around Milan (10 S-Bahn-like lines)
- Hierarchical, well-defined services: S, R and RE
- Regular-interval timetable: S trains half-hourly, R trains hourly, RE hourly/2-hourly
- > Nodes and connections for a network-wide service
- Service daily 5-24 for S lines and most R/RE to/from Milan
- New local operator Trenord (Trenitalia + LeNord) to have better control while still not tendering

Rail transport in Lombardy: a different (and successful) approach

- Fares hike in 2011 (+23%) instead of cutting trains (better to have a more expensive but good service than a bad cheap one)
- Introduction of Region-wide tariff integration ("IoViaggio", similar to the Swiss AG/GA)
- >650,000 daily passengers on 2,300 trains
- >40% of operating costs covered by ticket revenues (higher than the national average)

How the service has changed...

Milano-Chiasso Line 2003-2011 (Desio station towards Lissone)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

18.00

19.00

.09 .16

2007

.31

.25

.25

.25

.25

.28

S9

.25

.42

.42 .50

.42

.42

.42

.42

.42

.42

.42

.41

.39

.43

.45

.39

.55

.55

.55

.55

.55

.55

.50 .56

.54

.51 .58

.53

S9

.58

.52

.52

.49.55

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 .02 .10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

.10

S9

	2	003	
5	.15		
6 . <mark>01</mark>			.59
7	.17	.47	
8		.33	.58
9		.37	
10 - 11 -			
12 - <mark>.03</mark>			
13 . <mark>01</mark>			
14 . <mark>01</mark>		.32	
15			
16 . 04			
17. <mark>00</mark>		.45	
18		.43	
19 - 20. <mark>00</mark>			.57
20.00			
22			
23 -			
24			
	•	2009	

.27

.27

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

.57

S11

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

.40

S9

	20)05	
5		.43	
6		.43	.51
7	.16	.31 .43	.55
8	.22	.39	
9.(00	.45	
10			.51
11			18
12.		.44	
13.		.44	
14.		.41	
15.		.39	
16.	00		.51 .59
17 -		.43	.54
18		.43	.54
19. <mark>(</mark>	0		.51 .59
20		.43	
21		.41	
22			.53
23		.40	
24			
	20)11	
-			

		201	-	
5				.56
6			.39	.56
7	.02 .09	.26	.39	.56
8 -	.09	.26	.39	.56
9 -	.09	.26	.39	.56
10	.09		.39	.56
11	.09		.39	.56
12 -	.09		.39	.56
13	.09		.39	.56
14	.09		.39	.56
15	.09		.39	.56
16	.09		.39	.56
17	.09		.39	.56
18	.09	.26	.39	.56
19 -	.09	.26	.39	.56
20 -	.09	.26	.39	.56
21		.26	_	.56
22 -	S9	.26	S 9	.56
23 -				.56
24 -		S11		S11

Source: Giorgio Stagni – www.miol.it/stagniweb

S11

.27

.27

... and how the public has responded



Source: Giorgio Stagni – www.miol.it/stagniweb

Area C: Congestion Charge in Milan

- June 2011: referendum held for a wider application of Ecopass (pollution charge), 49% turnout with 79% in favour
- January 2012: starting of Area C (congestion charge) in the same central area (8km²)
- €5 day ticket for all private vehicles, Mon-Fri 7.30-19.30 (discounts for residents)
- Many court appeals, all rejected
- Attempts for a counter-referendum failed to gain support

Area C: Congestion Charge in Milan

• 2012 balance:

- -30% of car access in the pay zone (-7% in the whole city area)
- -28% of car accidents

>-20% of main polluting emissions

>+4% of public transport passengers (up to +20% in Area C)

>+5% of bus and tram average speed

- >+50% of car sharing and bike-sharing subscribers
- ► €20 million revenues (€7 million to cover costs, €3 million to expand bike sharing and €10 million to increase public transport)

UTP: Who we are and what we are doing

- National coverage
- Stronger presence in Milan and Lombardy
- Interacting with Institutions/Authorities and transport companies
- In Milan: present in ATM's Board (M.Ferrari)
- In Lombardy: confronting and cooperating with the regional transport office
- Works and studies supported by the Region:
 - Bus and train service surveys/monitorings
 - > Transport companies' websites analysis
 - Public transport accessibility to the mobility impaired
 - Preparing and coordinating transport users' representatives within the constituing Provincial Transport Authorities